Parks & Recreation and Cultural Programs

A series of eight questions in the survey specifically examined Parks & Recreation and Cultural programs. Initially, the respondents were asked if they had participated in the Parks & Recreation programs. They were also asked to name which program(s) they were involved with the location and to rate various aspects of the program including *program quality*, *facility quality*, *cost or fee*, *overall experience*, *ease of registration*, and *instructor quality*. The same 9-point grading scale was utilized.

The results showed that 36.4% or 146 of the respondents (32.8% in 2008) indicated someone in their household had participated in a Parks & Recreation or Cultural Program in the past two years. The programs they participated in and locations are illustrated in Appendix G. The most commonly mentioned were sports/athletics, art/art classes, baseball, basketball, tennis, concerts, senior citizen activities, and summer camps. Tables 25-30 (placed in descending order of rating) specifically examined the service dimensions related to the Parks & Recreation and Cultural programs. This year, the dimensions received continued high ratings with a level of improvement from 2008. The means increased on five dimensions (facility quality, overall experience, ease of registration, program quality, and cost or fee) while the other dimension (instructor quality) remained virtually unchanged. The mean increases were relatively large and this resulted in two of the grades improving for facility quality (A- to A) and overall experience (A- to A). Overall, Parks & Recreation earned very high marks with four A- and two A grades. These two grades represent the highest overall marks that Parks & Recreation have earned to date for these dimensions.

Table 25. Parks & Recreation: Facility Quality.

	•	Very Poor			_	_		_		Excellent	0
Year	Mean	1	2	3	4	5	6	/	8	9	Grade
10	8.44	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	1.4	2.1	8.3	22.2	65.3	Α
80	8.11	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.8	3.8	0.8	15.4	27.7	50.0	A-
06	8.18	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	1.9	4.7	13.1	29.0	50.5	A-
04	8.30	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	3.5	4.9	7.7	20.4	62.7	A-
02	8.06	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	4.6	3.3	17.1	28.3	46.1	A-
00	7.59	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	5.3	9.7	24.8	28.3	30.1	В
98	7.72	0.7	0.0	0.7	0.7	2.2	7.4	27.2	28.7	32.4	В

Table 26. Parks & Recreation: Overall Experience.

		Very Poor								Excellent	
Year	Mean	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Grade

1 of 3 5/31/16, 11:21 AM

10	8.43	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.7	2.1	0.7	8.3	21.5	66.0	Α
80	8.21	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	1.6	3.2	13.5	31.0	50.0	A-
06	8.14	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	6.6	14.2	34.0	44.3	A-
04	8.30	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.0	2.8	12.5	29.2	54.2	A-
02	8.11	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	3.9	1.3	13.7	32.7	46.4	A-
00	8.11	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.3	2.6	13.2	33.3	45.6	A-
98	7.88	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7	5.8	22.6	37.2	32.1	B+

Table 27. Parks & Recreation: Ease of Registration.

Year	Mean	Very Poor 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Excellent 9	Grade
10	8.36	0.0	8.0	0.0	0.8	2.3	2.3	8.3	22.6	63.2	A-
08	8.26	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0	1.8	2.7	11.8	19.1	61.8	A-
06	8.20	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	5.1	10.2	30.6	51.0	A-
04	8.32	0.8	0.0	0.8	0.0	2.5	3.3	7.5	21.7	63.3	A-

Table 28. Parks & Recreation: Program Quality.

Year	Mean	Very Poor 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Excellent 9	Grade
10	8.35	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.1	11.9	21.7	61.5	A-
08	8.23	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	2.4	1.6	15.2	27.2	52.8	A-
06	8.03	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	3.8	3.8	17.1	31.4	42.9	B+
04	8.36	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7	2.9	10.7	27.9	57.1	A-
02	8.01	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	4.5	3.9	15.6	31.2	43.5	B+
00	7.97	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.4	6.2	15.9	35.4	38.1	B+
98	7.85	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7	5.8	22.6	37.2	32.1	B+

Table 29. Parks & Recreation: Instructor Quality.

Year	Mean	Very Poor 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Excellent 9	Grade
10	8.30	0.9	0.9	0.0	1.7	1.7	0.9	10.4	18.3	65.2	A-
80	8.31	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.9	0.9	15.0	21.5	59.8	A-
06	8.22	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.1	12.8	28.7	53.2	A-
04	8.21	0.9	0.0	0.9	0.0	2.7	1.8	14.3	22.3	57.1	A-

2 of 3 5/31/16, 11:21 AM

Table 30. Parks & Recreation: Cost or Amount of Fee.

Year	Mean	Very Poor 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Excellent 9	Grade
10	8.25	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.7	3.3	10.8	21.7	60.0	Α-
08	8.09	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	4.2	5.1	16.1	21.2	52.5	A-
06	8.12	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	5.1	15.3	26.5	50.0	A-
04	8.10	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.8	4.0	8.0	10.4	19.2	56.8	A-
02	7.99	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.7	2.1	17.9	20.7	49.7	B+
00	8.01	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	4.7	6.6	10.4	33.0	44.3	B+
98	7.67	4.4	1.5	2.2	0.7	2.2	3.7	14.8	20.7	49.6	В

Parks & Recreation Crosstabulations

The crosstabulations (age, education, gender, housing type, income, race, years in Cary) for participation in Parks & Recreation programs are shown in Tables B169-B175 in Appendix B. The highest levels of participation (in order) were for those with a PhD/JD/MD degree (51.9%), 6-10 year residents (45.7%), over \$100,000 income level (41.7%), 18-25 age group (41.4%), females (41.3%), those with a college degree (40.7%), and \$70,001-\$100,000 income level (40.3%). The lowest levels of participation were by other races (12.5%), apartment dwellers (19.4%), 0-1 year residents (20.8%), Hispanics (25.0%), and those with high school/some college (25.4%). The crosstabulations for the service dimensions of *facility quality* (B176-B182), *overall experience* (B183-B189), *ease of registration* (B190-B196), *program quality* (B197-B203), *instructor quality* (B204-B210), and *cost or fee* (B211-B217) were generally high and consistent throughout the subgroupings. There were no grades falling beyond the B level outside of small sample size groups (n<10).

3 of 3 5/31/16, 11:21 AM